



Bryan White <zebrafactcheck@gmail.com>

Why no answers?

Bryan White <zebrafactcheck@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 11:54 PM

To: Enock Nyariki <enyariki@poynter.org>

Dear Enock,

I appreciate the time you took to write, particularly in light of your heightened responsibility in addressing urgent matters.

1) I judge that I have received no answer as to why the IFCN/staff have established such a poor record in answering my emails. I will infer that the IFCN does not wish to provide an answer to that question.

2) Likewise with the second question, no answer seems in the offing regarding either the IFCN's flawed performance in judging the challenge to Science Feedback's fact check on the topic of abortion or the IFCN's opinion on fact-checkers judging the validity of their own work under the Meta fact-checking partner system. I would expect the IFCN to take an interest in that question not because of a formal connection to Meta (**notwithstanding its role in financially supporting the IFCN**) but because of the IFCN's interest in **supporting appropriate ethical standards** in the fact-checking industry.

As mentioned in my earlier email, I hope you will be open to answering a modest number of additional queries, perhaps 2-3 questions per month. Your response has already inspired one follow-up question, which I will delay until early October.

Thanks again!

[Quoted text hidden]